

Comments on DECOS draft document on 4,4'-Methylene bis (2-chloroaniline)
By: Crystal D. Forester, Research Chemist,
NIOSH/National Personal Protective Technology Laboratory,
1095 Willowdale Road, Morgantown, WV 26505

| SECTION & PARAGRAPH | COMMENT |
|--|--|
| Critical studies included? | All critical studies have been presented and evaluated. The committee is commended for their thorough and systematic evaluation and elimination of studies which had significant limitations. |
| Detail of critical studies adequate? | Yes, sufficient detail was provided to support conclusions. |
| Concise presentation of information? | The information was presented in a concise manner with sufficient detail concerning the multiple studies described. |
| Limitations of critical studies? | The studies used for calculations had no limitations. |
| Alternative interpretations of assessments? | No, the data presented and used in the calculations supported the conclusions of the cancer risks. |

Thomas J. Lentz, PhD, M.P.H.
Branch Chief
Document Development Branch
Education and Information Division
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
(NIOSH)
1090 Tusculum Avenue

Date: December 7th, 2018 Your ref: Email dated November 16th, 2018 E-mail: sr.vink@gr.nl
Encl: - Our ref: 1455055/SV/jh/459-B75 Phone: +31 6 52781584

Subject: Comments on draft report *4,4'-Methylene bis (2-chloroaniline)*

Dear dr. Lentz,

Thank you and your colleague mrs Forester for accepting the invitation to comment on the draft report *4,4'-Methylene bis (2-chloroaniline)*, which was published for public review in June 2018, by the Dutch Expert Committee on Occupational Safety (DECOS) of the Health Council of the Netherlands.

The Committee is pleased that NIOSH supports the outline and conclusions of the report. In the accompanying e-mail you can find a link to a digital version of the final report.

Yours sincerely,

S.R. Vink, PhD
Scientific secretary